RemTech 2022

Fractured Bedrock Characterization in the Foothills of Alberta – Old Sites, New Ideas



Tim Van Dijk, M.Sc., P. Geol. Amit Sharma, P. Geo.



RemTech 2022

- Introduction
- Geophysical Logging
- Core Analysis
- Borehole and Well Testing
- Summary



Acknowledgements: Deanna Cottrell, Alex Haluszka, Louis-Charles Boutin, Natalie Lippa



Contaminant Transport and Fate

- Contaminant loading into fractured porous bedrock
- Head gradient controls general plume direction
- Preferential flow paths through fractures
- Fracture and matrix porosity
- Diffusion into porous bedrock matrix

te Key Questions: Where? How Fast? What Concentration?



Reference: Fractured Bedrock Field Methods and Analytical Tools, Golder 2010



Why do we care?

- Decisions on risk management and remediation
- Remediation can be complex and expensive
- Site assessment methods that inform Conceptual Site Model





Fractured Rock – Key Parameters

• What parameters influence contaminant transport?

Parameter	Key Questions
Orientation	Where?
Spacing/density/frequency	How fast?
Aperture	How Fast?
Hydraulic conductivity/permeability	How Fast?
Porosity (fracture vs. matrix)	Concentration? How fast?



We need to use site assessment techniques that evaluate these parameters



Alberta Foothills Oil & Gas Sites

Age Generalized Stratigraphy (Unit Name: Description)

QUATERNARY



Till, alluvium, colluvium, landslide, debris of nearby bedrock a. a. glacier

PALEOCENE and **EOCENE**



KBZ

PASKAPOO FORMATION: sandstone, fine to coarse grained, locally massive, cliff forming, buff weathering; shale; carbonaceous shale; siltstone; conglomerate; rare coal seams; shell beds

UPPER CRETACEOUS and PALEOCENE

	COALCOLL
K₽ _{Cn}	in the low

COALSPUR FORMATION: shale, grey to olive-green coaly shale, siltstone, sandstone, numerous thin bentonite beds in the lower part, and coal seams of the Coalspur Coal Zone in the upper part. Entrance Congiomerate Member: congiomerate with chert, rare volcanic, limestone, and phyllite pebbles; sandstone

UPPER CRETACEOUS

BRAZEAU FORMATION

Upper part: mudstone, siltstone; sandstone, greenish grey; bentonite; thin coal seams towards the top Lower part: sandstone, siltstone, laminated; mudstone, olive green; conglomerate, granule to pebble sized, chert and quartzite, plant debris; bentonite beds towards the top

ALBERTA GROUP

KWP WAPIABI FORMATION

Nomad Member: mudstone, dark grey, rubbly, rusty weathering; carbonaceous mudstone, greyish green; interbedded sandstone, fine grained, greyish green; thin, chert-pebble conglomerate at base

Chungo Member: sandstone, fine to coarse grained; argillaceous silistone, dark grey; shale, greyish green, coal at top Hanson Member: 'concretionary shale' consisting of mudstone, dark grey, argillaceous silistone; sideritic concretions Thistle Member: 'platy shale' consisting of mudstone, dark grey; interbedded with silistone, thin, induratel; bentonite

Dowling Member: 'concretionary shale' consisting of mudstone and siltstone, dark grey; sideritic concretions, orange weathering; chert-pebble and -cobble conglomerate at base

Marshybank Member: concretionary mudstone; sideritic concretions, isolated or horizons; sandstone, fine grained; argillaceous siltstone; massive to cross-bedded; silty concretions, orange-red weathering

Muskiki Member: silty shale, dark grey, rusty weathering: siderite concretions, dark bluish grey, reddish-brown weathering; chert-pebble and -cobble conglomerate at base





Borehole Image Logging

- Acoustic for below fluid level
- Optical for clear water/above fluid level
- Oilfield more advanced acoustic and resistivity based options





Borehole Image Logging

- Acoustic for below fluid level
- Optical for clear water/above fluid level
- Oilfield more advanced acoustic and resistivity based options

RESISTIVITY





Borehole Image Logging



 Method to estimate mechanical and hydraulic aperture from electrical image logs

Fracture apertures from electrical borehole scans

S. M. Luthi* and P. Souhaité‡

ABSTRACT

Three-dimensional finite-element modeling was performed to investigate the response to fractures of the Formation MicroScanner (Mark of Schlumberger), which records high-resolution electrical scans of the borehole wall. It is found that the equation

$W = cAR_m^b R_{x0}^{1-b}$

describes, over two orders of magnitude of resistivity contrasts between borehole mud and the formation, the relationship between fracture width W (in mm), formation resistivity $R_{a,0}$ mud resistivity $R_{m,}$ and the additional current flow A caused by the presence of the fracture. A is the additional current which can be injected into the formation divided by the voltage, integrated along a line perpendicular across the fracture trace. Coefficient c and exponent b are obtained numerically from forward modeling. Tool standoffs of up to 2.5 mm and fracture dips in the range from 0° to 40° were found to have an insignificant effect on the above relation. A three-step approach to detect, trace, and quantify fractures is used. Potential fractures in Formation MicroScanner images are detected as locations where conductivity exceeds the local matrix conductivity by a statistically significant amount. Integration over a circular area is performed around these locations to gather all excessive currents; this integral is then geometrically reduced to approximate the line integral A. Line sharpening and neighborhood connectivity tests are done to trace the fractures, and apertures are computed for all fracture locations.

Results from a well into basement in Moodus (Connecticut) show that the method successfully traces fractures seen on Formation MicroScanner images. The resulting fracture apertures range from 10 μ m to 1 mm. For the wider fractures there is acceptable agreement with apertures obtained from Stoneley wave reflection measurements. This unique and novel technique for characterizing fractures in wellbores has a very low detection threshold of around 10 μ m and resolves fractures as little as 1 cm apart. Furthermore, it provides azimuthal orientation of the fractures:

Matrix Solutions Inc. ENVIRONMENT & ENGINEERING

How Fast? What Concentration?

Fractures Sets - Orientation



 Most orientation data comes from image logs but can come from oriented core





Core - CT Scan

- Validation of core recovery/quality
- Estimate of fracture porosity
- Core handling critical and calculated fracture porosity can be biased high







How Fast? What Concentration

Core Analysis

- Core can be oriented so that fracture orientations can be obtained; this is more expensive
- Unoriented core can be analyzed for other properties like fracture frequency, porosity, and permeability





Core – Laboratory Testing Core Plug

- Plugs = matrix porosity and permeability
- Full Diameter = matrix + fracture permeability and porosity
- Characterization of anisotropy
 - At borehole scale

How Fast? What Concentration?

Permeability Sample Ambient AR Bulk Grain to Air Well Depth Porosity Density Density (OB: 800psi) m mD fraction g/cc g/cc 3B 24.75 0.053 2.588 2.691 2A 2.607 43.14 0.00215 0.032 2.677 2A 69.26 0.00198 2.642 2.686 0.022 4B 2.613 2.674 56.26 0.00281 0.028 87.27 0.00166 0.033 2,603 2.669 94 77 0.00373 0.068 2 5 3 3 2.681 113.65 0.069 2.534 2,660 114.28 0.00209 0.033 2.625 2.687 118 50 0 0120 0.034 2 603 2 676

Full Diameter

Well	Sample Top	Sample Bottom	Sample Median	Permeability to Air (OB: 400psi)			Ambient	AR Bulk	Grain
	Depth	Depth	Depth	K _{HMax}	K _{H90}	Kv	Porosity	Density	Density
	m	m	m	mD	mD	mD	fraction	g/cc	g/cc
2A	29.68	29.82	29.75	4910.	1010.	0.0810	0.038	2.588	2.671
2A	40.94	41.09	41.02	748.	314.	0.00209	0.021	2.639	2.685
2A	43.24	43.39	43.32	13.9	5.95	0.0112	0.038	2.603	2.692
2A	60.41	60.53	60.47	4790.	2430.	0.0108	0.048	2.597	2.705
2A	67.00	67.10	67.05	3040.	1900.	0.0215	0.051	2.569	2.687
2A	69.58	69.73	69.66	1960.	1550.	0.00679	0.031	2.624	2.695
4B	58.20	58.35	58.28	690.	459.	0.0590	0.024	2.638	2.695
4B	59.45	59.57	59.51	3630.	3050.	0.192	0.050	2.568	2.694
4B	110.78	110.89	110.84	4630.	3690.	0.0746	0.041	2.628	2.718

2. Box 56 - shale/siltstone - 1x open single fracture (bedding plane parallel)





Borehole Packer Testing – Zone Isolation Transducer Evaluate: 23 50 Hydraulic conductivity (K) 28 40 Groundwater quality Head (mGL) (min) 30 Monitor nearby wells Test zone Rate 20 Zones selected based on: - Upper zone Drilling info 43 10 — I ower Pump zone Geophysics/image logs 48 0 Transducer 14:00 14:15 14:30 14:45 15:00 How Fast? Transducer Matrix Solutions Inc. What Concentrations?

•

۲

•

Borehole Profiles

- Transmissivity Profile:
 - High resolution
 measurement of
 hydraulic conductivity
 - Identify hydraulically active fractures

Where?

How Fast?





Flexible Liner Underground Technologies





15

Borehole Profiles

- Reverse Head Profile:
 - Determine hydraulic head in specific intervals
 - Vertical gradients



FLUTe

Flexible Liner Underground Technologies

Environmental Innovation





Where? How Fast?

Multi-Level Wells



- Water FLUTe:
 - Sampling ports (groundwater quality)
 - Optional pressure transducers

FLUTe

Environmental Innovation



Anisotropic Drawdown in a Pumping Test

3D Interpretation of hydraulically connected fracture network from monitoring well network

Monitoring well screen interval and FLUTe multi-level ports

Where? How Fast? What Concentration?



Anisotropic Drawdown in a Pumping Test

- Options to analyze:
 - -Numerical model
 - Simplified analytical approaches





Technical Note/

A Distance–Drawdown Aquifer Test Method for Aquifers with Areal Anisotropy

by Robert D. Mutch Jr.1,2

Abstract

A new distance-drawdown method for aquifers with anisotropy on the horizontal plane is presented. The method uses scalar transformation to convert to an equivalent, isotropic medium, thus permitting application of the Cooper-Jacob Method. The method is applicable to cases where at least one ellipse of equal drawdown can be delineated but can also be applied where no ellipse can be discerned from the data. In the latter case, a least-squares regression approach can be employed to estimate the orientation and magnitude of the anisotropy. The regression R^2 value provides a quantitative assessment of the degree to which the drawdown data are indicative of a systematic areal anisotropy in the aquifer or whether the data simply reflect natural aquifer heterogeneity. In addition to confined aquifers, this methodology, like the Cooper-Jacob Method, is also applicable to unconfined aquifers either before the onset of delayed drainage or following the completion of delayed drainage provided that the *u* value meets the recommended criterion.

Matrix Solutions Inc. ENVIRONMENT & ENGINEERING

Where? How Fast? What Concentration?





Summary

- Flow and transport in fractured bedrock is inherently complex, may require significant effort and different approaches to characterize.
- Filling data gaps in conceptual site model.
- Various field characterization techniques for different stages
- Validate results with different methods and scales



Contact Us

Tim Van Dijk, M.Sc., P. Geol. Principal Hydrogeologist tvandijk@matrix-solutions.com 403-462-4563

Amit Sharma, P. Geo. Hydrogeologist <u>asharma@matrix_solutions.com</u> 587-897-1475

matrix-solutions.com

