



In-Situ Permeable Reactive Barrier Remediation Optimization using High Resolution Site Characterization Tools – A Case Study

RemTech October 13, 2022 Patrick O'Neill, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Vertex Environmental Inc. © 2022

Vertex Environmental Inc.



In-Situ Remediation



Ex-Situ Remediation



High Resolution Characterization





Remedial Design



Bench-Scale Testing



Presentation Overview

- Permeable Reactive Barriers (PRB)
- How to Design & Install a PRB
 - Old School (Traditional Approach)
 - New School (High Resolution Site Characterization (HRSC) Approach)
- Case Study: PRB Optimization using HRSC
 - Initial Design
 - HRSC Work
 - HRSC Results
 - Optimized Design & Cost Savings
- Questions



Permeable Reactive Barriers



PRB Background – Applications



What is a Permeable Reactive Barrier (PRB)?

- PRBs intercept and treat contaminated groundwater plumes (passive)
- Allow groundwater to flow through unimpeded
- Can be excavated or injected
- Sustainable (no energy use to operate)



PRB Background – Applications



Why Would You Need a PRB?

- Property boundary control (prevent off-site migration or protect from off-site source)
- Risk to sensitive receptors: human health or ecological (e.g. residential or creek)
- Pressure to Act: lawsuit
- Cannot access or clean-up an off-site source of contamination (i.e. upgradient)

VERTEX



PRB Design & Installation - Old vs New



How to Design and Install a PRB – Old School

- Traditional Techniques;
 - Borehole drilling & environmental sampling,
 - Monitoring well installation,
 - Groundwater well development & sampling,
 - Analysis of soil and groundwater samples,
 - Low Flow sampling
 - Wide range of parameters to be scanned
- Usually requires multiple iterations/mobilizations for proper delineation and proper Site assessment
 - Costs can and will add up over time over time, delineation and characterization can be very costly in time and money!
 - Not a very sustainable model!





How to Design and Install a PRB – Old School



- Use generic assumptions / rules of thumb
- Dig a trench
- Mix up some ZVI and sand
- Maybe do some mag. separation testing
- Backfill the trench
- Hope for the best...
 - Under design = Failure
 - Over design = Wasted \$\$
- But what if there is something unusual about your site?
- Is there a better way? YES!



High Resolution Site Characterization (HRSC)



How to Design and Install a PRB – New School

- High Resolution Site Characterization (HRSC) Techniques;
 - Borehole drilling & environmental "sampling" in <u>real time</u> <u>on-Site</u>,
 - Geotechnical & Environmental parameters collected
- Optimized monitoring well installation & sampling,
 - Analysis of targeted soil and groundwater samples,
 - Specific set key parameters to be analyzed
- HRSC can be completed in <u>one</u> mobilization for extensive screening/delineation both vertically and horizontally
 - Costs for HRSC surveys can pay for themselves multiple times over when considering multiple traditional mobilizations to collect same data AND when considering potential remediation costs of the Site
 - Less mobilizations = a more sustainable and efficient program



/ERTE

How to Design and Install a PRB – New School



- Use HRSC and analytical data to create a highly detailed understanding of the Site/PRB alignment
- Incorporate hydrogeological information to estimate contaminant flux
- Optimize PRB design for Site specific parameters
- Select specific amendment for contaminants and begin installation (cut & fill and/or in-situ injection)
- Complete amendment specific QA/QC during installation
- Mitigate uncertainty!
- Monitor PRB for performance



High Resolution Site Characterization Tools





Case Study – PRB Design Optimization Using HRSC

Site details / background:

- Chlorinated Volatile Organic Compounds (cVOCs) migrating from off-Site source onto property
- Objective: Install PRB to protect on-Site tenants and for bank refinancing
- A few rounds of limited traditional delineation work and sampling completed by consultants
- Vertex asked to develop PRB to based on sparse data
- LLMiHpt brought in to fill in data gaps of cVOC plume definition was limited at best
- No hydrogeological information was estimated for on-Site in previous works



Original PRB Design:

- Based on limited information from <u>4 groundwater</u> monitoring wells with significant gaps between
- Monitoring wells had some soil samples submitted during installation
- Water table measured at approximately <u>1 mbgs</u>
- Initial PRB installation depths from <u>1 to 4 mbgs (3 m</u> of saturated wall thickness)
- No information on Site hydraulic conductivity only assumptions based on geology, literature and experience
- Conservative estimate included entire length of property boundary <u>~\$180,000 to install</u>



HRSC Work Completed on-Site:

- Completed fifteen (15) LLMiHpt locations in four
 (4) days along property boundary and interior of active facility
 - 9 LLMiHpt locations along PRB alignment
 - 6 LLMiHpt locations inside active facility (downgradient of proposed PRB)
- Depth of Probing = up to 9.7 mbgs (up to 4 mbgs maximum on previous design)
- Tighter locations along property boundary and on-Site building AND working around active facility storage racks, equipment, and employees
- Many considerations must be made with working inside active facilities → on-Site disruptions and time management!







HRSC Results:

- Utilized for vertical and horizontal data gap analysis and PRB design optimization
- Good agreement with PID and XSD data confirming CVOC impacts along property boundary
- CVOC impacts found to be much deeper than what previous information collected/provided
 - Previous reports indicated ~4 mbgs max depth of impacts, LLMiHpt had significant responses up to ~9 mbgs,

Hydraulic Profiling Tool highlighted transport and storage zones within the subsurface

- Shallow water table confirmed by HPT
- Similar geology across the Site with varying permeabilities and estimated hydraulic conductivities (horizontally and vertically) VERTEX







Highlights from LLMiHpt Survey

- CVOC impacts profiled along property boundary indicated horizontal and vertical delineation
- Transport and storage zones within PRB alignment mapped out
- Generally highest LLMiHpt detector responses in lower conductivity zones (i.e. lower contaminant mass discharge across property boundary)



Comparison – Original vs Optimized

	Original	Optimized
Length	Up to 80 m	55 m
Installation Interval	1 to 4 mbgs	1 to 9 mbgs
Total Cross-Sectional Area	240 m ²	~388 m² **
Total Remedial Cost	~\$180,000	~\$105,000

- ** final PRB design had variable installation depth intervals along the length of PRB
- Optimized PRB design had \$75,000 derived from HRSC program
- Remedial savings = 2.5x times the total cost of the HRSC program
- Original design would have missed a significant portion of the GW plume = FAILURE



Closing Thoughts



Closing Thoughts

Permeable Reactive Barriers;

- "Old" technology that still works (when designed and installed properly)
- "New" technologies have improved PRB's
 - Many different amendments (slow-release oxidants, ZVI, Trap & Treat, etc...)
 - Better Characterization techniques and technologies
- Still a sustainable and cost-efficient remediation technology

On-Site Characterization:

- Started with test pitting and auguring boreholes/monitoring wells
- Direct push technologies use real-time HRSC data to understand a Site better
 - Optimized sampling and monitoring well installation program "take the guess work out of the process"

Case Study Recap:

- Original PRB design was setup for failure to achieve remedial goal AND \$180,000 → Limited information
- HRSC program completed on-Site to gather more information
- Optimized PRB design increased remedial certainty AND \$105,000 → More information



/ERTE



Questions?

Thank You for Your Time

Patrick O'Neill, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.

Vertex Environmental Inc. patricko@vertexenvironmental.ca

www.vertexenvironmental.ca

