Understanding the Cumulative Effect of NSZD through Compositional Analysis

Elizabeth Haack, Ph.D., P.Chem. Ecometrix Incorporated, Mississauga, ON, and

Andrew Kirkman, P.Eng. BP Products North America Inc., 150 W Warrenville Rd, Naperville, IL 60563, USA

October 14, 2022



Why Compositional Changes?

- LNAPL composition is important for physical behaviour and environmental consequence, and will evolve over time
- Direct measure of the effect of NSZD on source composition
- Understand how (multiple) NSZD mechanisms (weathering) varies across a site, and in terms of specific components
- Potentially, attribute difference in weathering to LNAPL distribution relative to water-table, soil type, moisture content, or other features.



Consider

- May be able to measure differences in bulk rates across a site
 - → Could we gain more insight on source area risk remaining?
- Enhancement of our CSMs, such that: →improved selection of remedial technologies
 - → improved targeting for remediation areas
 - → improved understanding of processes and effect on NSZD rates?



Objectives

- Approach
- Key learnings
- *Application to an Alberta Site



Former Blowout Site



Product Thickness (m), 1983



Goal: Sustainability Assessment, NSDZ as "base case"

Environmental INTELLIGENCE

Ecometrix



Decision logic flowchart for selection of NSZD measurement data quality levels; Technical measurement guidance for LNAPL natural source zone depletion; CRC Care, 2018

Approach









... and over time

Cumulative Loss in Mixture as a whole

Same Theory Applied to Hydrocarbon & Chromatograms – Gas Chromatography, Flame Ionization Detector (GC-FID)

Total moles of the mixture decreases over time

Bio markers (α) are compounds with moles staying constant (not degraded) - mole fraction continuously increases

Modified equation represents
Cumulative LNAPL Fraction
Lost:





Loss of Specific Constituents



We may want to consider individual constituents within the mixture, and their rate of loss... say benzene.

The moles of benzene remaining (M_B) at any given time t,

Has to be related back to the initial number of moles.

This is done by correcting the mole fraction $(X_{\rm B})$ for the cumulative LNAPL fraction loss, based on the biomarker (X_{α}) .

$$\frac{M_{B,t}}{M_{T,i}} = X_{B,t *} X_{\alpha,i} / X_{\alpha,t}$$



Biodegradation and Molecular Structure (After ITRC)

> Environmental INTELLIGENCE

Ecometrix

- Simpler the structure or one aspect of the structure, easier for microbe to break down
- More branching, more difficult to biodegrade
- Geometry of branches matters; branches next to each other are more difficult than branches further way
- Leverage this behavior in estimating the fraction of LNAPL degraded/weathered/lost.



Key Learnings

a) Selecting Optimal/Multiple Marker Constituents b) Spatiotemporal Understanding



Appropriate for finite LNAPL mass with no additional sources or releases over the investigation time.

Method: Select the most appropriate conserved marker constituents: measured composition data; parameter transformations; regression analyses.

Where there are N constituents, the most conserved constituent has the highest positive (kappa) $\kappa_{A(i)}$ value (rank ordered: rate of change of concentration)

More than one marker: Improved quantification certainty



Selecting Biomarkers – DeVaull et al., 2020

Environmental INTELLIGENCE

Ecometrix

Crude Oil Weathering in Shallow Subsurface (Bemidji, Minnesota; C4 to C40)



Constituent rate / Total rate (year/year)

Crude Oil Weathering in Shallow Subsurface (Bemidji, Minnesota; Multiple wells C4 to C40)



Gasoline and Diesel Mixture Weathering (Petroleum Terminal Site; Single Well C5 to C20)



Conventional markers (>C20) not present

nC19: Fastest Initial rate; approx. 2 x mean depletion rate - Methanogenic conditions

Building a Spatiotemporal, Site-Wide Model





AREA THAT IS PERENNIALLY OR EPHEMERALLY

DRY (BOUNDARY ESTIMATED) ESTIMATED CAPTURE AREA • Prior to recovery, LNAPL body and dissolved phase stabilized (not migrating or expanding)

Well by Well (36 Samples, 9 Wells, 2003-2020); IP13 as marker

• The difference in degree of weathering for a given time point between locations identifies that the samples should not be lumped into a singular Losses over time model.



Entire LNAPL Body: Constituent Decline Curve Vs LNAPL Weathering Includes All data points from 2003 to 2020

• Hexane n-Henicosane nC21 0.015 0.0012 Moles Remaining Hexane 0.001 -Henicosane (n **kemain** 0.01 0.0008 0.0006 Moles 0.005 0.0004 0.0002 0 0 0.1 02 0.30.5 0.6 0.7 04

Cumulative LNAPL Fraction Lost (Moles/Mole)

Preferential degradation of heavier and lower concentration alkane C21

Data Potentially Supports Sequential Hydrocarbon Degradation

Consider MW-179 alone: could conclude Methylcyclohexane is not degraded/lost.

Combining Data into a single CSM identifies a likely lag period at MW-179; MCHX will decline once other substrates depleted

Whether Running Time Series on multiple wells or combining multiple wells on a plot like this, multiple wells will give a better understanding of overall degradation as compared to a single well analysis



MCHX 🔷 Tol

MW-179 points indicate a potential Substrate Lag rather than lack of methylcylohexane degradation



Back to AB.....



Then (1993) and Now (2021).....





Really restricted by 1993 data for marker.....

Preliminary Estimates – 1993 to Present: weathering of LNAPL, but biodegradation?



Constituent Mole Remaining = $X_{\beta,t} * X_{\alpha,i} / X_{\alpha,t}$ Biomarker Selected: C24

> Environmental INTELLIGENCE

Ecometrix

Constituent Fraction Loss = $(X_{\beta,i} - X_{\beta,t}) / X_{\beta,t}$

Slope >1, constituent is lost at a faster rate than LNAPL is weathering as a whole; Slope <1, constituent is lost at a slower rate than LNAPL is weathering as a whole; NSZD-Supporting Framework

- Build a functional/mechanistic PHC CSM
- Hydrogeological CSM and Water Balance
- Historical PHC and Biodegradation Indicators data (Decades, and more recent)
- LNAPL Delineation (*LIF? Shoutout*)
- NSZD: Compositional Analysis, Rates using Gradient Method
- Microbial Communities, soil, groundwater, LNAPL (University of Guelph)
- Stable Isotopes *Direct evidence of Biodegradation*
- Additional forensics approaches to evaluate sources, and contributions of sources



Concluding Thoughts: Cumulative Effect of NSZD through Compositional Analysis



additional Sites

People that have contributed time to these effort include:

bp

Dennis Beckmann (Retired) - Forensic Chemistry Nicole Jugovich – Chemical Engineering Rebecca Pasman – Data Science Meng Lei, BP Spark Intern – Data Science

AECOM:

Jonathan Smith, NSZD Jonathan Whitley, Kinetics & Modeling Dr. Jun Lu, Forensic Chemistry Dr. Matt Zenker, Kinetics Craig Harris and teams (Calgary, Victoria, Edmonton, Markham)

Jorge Montoy, Kevin Wheeler, Starlet Wright (Sovereign)

Langping Wu – **Ecometrix**

Acknowledgements



Thankyou

<u>ehaack@ecometrix.ca</u> <u>Andrew.Kirkman@bp.com</u>



References

Cheng, I., et al., Preferential degradation of long-chain alkyl substituted hydrocarbons in heavy oil under methanogenic conditions, Organic Geochemistry, Volume 138, 2019, 103927, ISSN 0146-6380, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2019.103927</u>.

CRC CARE 2018, Technical measurement guidance for LNAPL natural source zone depletion, CRC CARE Technical Report no. 44, CRC for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, Newcastle, Australia.

Douglas, G.A., Bence, E., Prince, R.C., McMillen, S.J., Butler, E.L. 1996, Environmental Stability of Selected Petroleum Hydrocarbon Source and Weathering Ratios, Environmental Science and Technology 30 2332-2339.

ITRC, 2009, Evaluating LNAPL Remedial Technologies for Achieving Project Goals, Interstate Technical and Regulatory Council, Washington D.C.,

ITRC, 2018, LNAPL Site Management: LCSM Evolution, Decision Process, and Remedial Technologies, Interstate Technical and Regulatory Council, Washington D.C.,

Mohamad Shahimin, M.F.; Foght, J.M.; Siddique, T. Methanogenic Biodegradation of iso-Alkanes by Indigenous Microbes from Two Different Oil Sands Tailings Ponds. Microorganisms 2021, 9, 1569. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms9081569

Sherry A, Grant RJ, Aitken CM, Jones DM, Head IM and Gray ND (2014) Volatile hydrocarbons inhibit methanogenic crude oil degradation. Front. Microbiol. 5:131. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00131

Xu X, Liu W, Tian S, Wang W, Qi Q, Jiang P, Gao X, Li F, Li H and Yu H (2018) Petroleum Hydrocarbon-Degrading Bacteria for the Remediation of Oil Pollution Under Aerobic Conditions: A Perspective Analysis. Front. Microbiol. 9:2885. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.02885

Conceptualisation of LNAPL NSZD processes (modified from API 2017)





