

Now What? Prioritizing your Emerging Contaminants Portfolio

RemTech, October 15, 2021, 11:15-11:45 am

Shalene Thomas, VP Global Emerging Contaminants Program Manager

Julius Kreissig Economist / Environmental Policy Specialist

October 2021



Agenda

- 1. How to determine use and presence
- 2. Developing risk-based prioritization strategy
- 3. Lessons learned and future considerations

Objective: Understand how to evaluate and prioritize liability related to PFAS use



How to determine use and presence?



Where are they used?

Gluge et al, 2020. An overview of the uses of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)

Electrical equipment, appliance, and component manufacturing - Functional fluid

Machinery manufacturing -Functional fluid

All other basic inorganic chemical manufacturing - Refrigerant (heat transfer fluid)

Industrial gas manufacturing - Air conditioner/refrigeration

All other chemical manufacturing - Firefighting foam agents

Other Sources for Use Data

US Sources

- TSCA Chemical Data Reporting
- Manufacturer information
- Market Reports

Global Sources

- Literature
- OECD database

A presentation by Wood.

- SPIN Database (Nordic Countries)
- Patents



		1000	Reports
Record 1 of 27359		Substance	
Cas no: 50-00-0	Name: Fornadehyto		
EC no: 200-001-8			

WOO



Other Sources - REACH Restriction Efforts



Use Study

Cleaning agents, polishes and waxes (non-industrial uses) Cosmetics Food contact materials & packaging Lubricants Construction products Medical devices Medicinal products Metal plating & manufacturing of metal products PFAS production (manufacturing) Ski treatment TULAC (textiles, upholstery, leather, apparel and carpets) Petroleum & mining F-gas uses Electronics & energy Transportation Waste



AFF



European Commission





wood

Developing risk-based prioritization strategy



Example 1: State Program

Basic Program Premise



Basic blocks of the example program





Example Programmatic PFAS Approach **Wood**.

Overview of the Process- the theoretical model

Stakeholder communication throughout the development process							
 Nominations 	• Screening & scoring	 Risk-based selection 	 Measurement & validation 				
Source location Candidate classification	Location prioritization	Location selection	Absense/presence determinations				
Phase I	Pha	Phase III					



Example Programmatic PFAS Approach **Wood**.

Quantitative Outcome/Results of the Pilot Program



Example Programmatic PFAS Approach

Quantitative Outcome/Results of the Pilot Program

0

5

5

2





NAICS SITE DESCRIPTION AND OPERATIONS

The Site property is approximately 310-acres in size, comprised of multiple parcels of land, located at a County. The property includes an administration office building, approximately 90 aircraft hangars, airfield equipment, and storage buildings with associated paved parking areas, drives, and aircraft pavement. The operates the facility which houses 133 aircraft based at the airport, and experiences more than 33,000 operations annually

The Site is classified under NAICS code 488119 - Airports. Industries classified under that code are primarily engaged in operating international, national, or civil airports or public flying fields, or, supporting airport operations, such as rental of hangar space, and providing baggage handling and/or cargo handling services.

Page 1

Airport

County, MN

GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER INFORMATION

As shown on the Site Figure, the Site does not fall within a Wellhead Protection Area. The closest wellhead protection area (F) is located 1.4 miles to the northeast.

One groundwater supply well is located within 500-feet of the Site. A commercial supply well (ID registered to k) is located on-Site. Based on regional topography, Site groundwater is anticipated to flow to the northeast

An unnamed stream, a Public Waters Watercourse, appears to be channelized, flowing from west to east, across the northern portion of the Site. The unnamed stream empties to the River, located approximately 3miles to the east of the Site

COMMUNITY INFORMATION OF INTEREST

Daycares, schools, and medical and long-term care facilities were evaluated to determine potential community populations that either live or spend time in the vicinity of the site. Findings from the community evaluation are presented in the table below. No communities of interest were located within 500-feet of the Site property.

Community Type	100 ft	200 ft	500 ft
Daycares	0	0	0
Schools	0	0	0
Medical & Long-term Care Facilities	0	0	0

OTHER RELEVENT INFORMATION

In addition to the information discussed above, various MPCA environmental databases were queried to determine the potential presence of various known contamination sources in the area of the Site. As shown in the table below, MPCA records indicate the presence of two registered storage tanks associated with the Site, and the presence of six remediation sites within 500-feet of the Site property.

	On-Site		Within 500-ft of Site			
Site	Registered Landfills	Registered Tanks	Closed Landfills	MPCA Remediation Sites	Brownfield Sites	Wastewater Permits
Total	No	2	0	6	0	0

Additionally, the majority of the Site falls within a high vulnerability aquifer as defined by the Minnesota Water Table Aquifer Vulnerability database. High vulnerability aquifers are defined as vertical water movement reaching the aquifer within several hours to years. A low vulnerability aquifer underlays portions of the southwest corner of the Site, with water anticipated to reach the aquifer within several decades to over a century in these areas. EXISTING PEAS INFORMATION

The results of previous MPCA PFAS sampling events from across the State were queried to determine if environmental PFAS detections have been reported to date in proximity to the Site. As indicated in the following table, no PFAS detections from targeted sampling events have been reported within one-mile of the Site.

Site	Ambient Groundwater Sampling	Closed Landfill Program Sampling	Wastewater Treatment Plant Sampling	Surface Water Sampling	
1-mile	No	No	No	No	

✓ Site profiles high-ranked sites. ✓ Profiles support primary objectives ✓ Profiles intended as tool for PMs

wood.



Example Programmatic PFAS Approach **WOOD**.

Quantitative Outcome/Results of Program

- ✓ Site profiles are accompanied by comprehensive cumulative risk-rank map
- ✓ Map provides visual representation of potential pathway, receptor, and source evaluation
- ✓ Profiles and accompanying map provide communication tool that illustrates consistent, reproducible, validated programmatic approach





Example 2: Legacy Program

Scoring/Ranking/Prioritization Approach

Site History and Potential PFAS Sources	Site Remediation History	Source to Groundwater Pathway	Source to Surface Water Pathway	Client Liability and Current Owners	Non- technical Regulatory	eputation/ Litigation
Nature of Facility	Site Remediation	Drinking Water Use	Drinking Water Use	Client Liability	Lead Agency	Reputational Concern
PFAS Use	Remediation Date	Other Beneficial Uses	Fishing/ Swimming Use	Environmental Media Liability	PFAS Regulatory Potential	Litigation Concern
PFAS Waste	Remediation Details	Discharge to Surface Water	Habitat Use	Divesture Date	CERCLA/ RCRA	State Promulgated PFAS Rules
PFAS Sampling		Receptor Wells	Waste Discharge Use	Current Owner Use	Operations and Permits	
PFAS Media		Off-site Contaminants	Nearby Habitat			
PFAS Sample Data		PFAS Well Data	PFAS Surface Water Data			
Current Post- Divesture Land Use		PFAS Transport Potential	PFAS Transport Potential			
Nearby Off- Site Sources						





Example Results – Higher and Lower Priority Sites



Total Site Portfolio- Example Scoring

Current Priority (some refined)	All Sites Scored	General Site Characterization			
Higher (25%)	25	PFAS containing compounds assumed or confirmed on-site. Both GW and SW pathways are likely/very likely to be complete			
Medium (70%)	70	PFAS containing compounds assumed or confirmed on-site. GW and SW pathway completeness ranges from incomplete to very likely; only one of the two pathways may be complete.		All S Sta Promu	Sites ate Ilgated
			Priority	Yes*	No
			High	10*	15
			Medium	48*	22
Lower (5%)	5	Initial Questionnaire stated no evidence of PFAS on-site. GW and SW pathway completeness is unlikely based on lack of PFAS source	Low	5	0



Example 3: Product Stewardship Program

Evaluation Process







Lessons Learned and Future Considerations

Develop Your Strategy and Be Prepared





Thank you!

Shalene Thomas, VP Global Emerging Contaminants Program Manager Minneapolis, MN



- 23 years of experience in environmental investigation, risk assessment and communication
- 13 years experience with PFAS
- Co-leader for ITRC PFAS AFFF sub-team
- Technical reviewer for PFAS SIs in more than two dozen different States and 9 of 10 EPA regions
- Contributing author to NGWA PFAS BMPs
- NFPA Research Foundation PFAS Technical Panel

Julius Kreissig Economist / Environmental Policy Specialist Calgary, AB



- 7 years of experience in economic analysis, and design and assessment of environmental policy
- Led/supported the design of potential regulation of several uses of PFAS for European Union institutions and national authorities
- Led several studies on uses of fluoropolymers in EU and US

<u>shalene.thomas@woodplc.com</u> +1 (612) 490-7606

<u>Julius.kreissig@woodplc.com</u> +1 (403) 542 0036

Wood PFAS Overview- Examples of our work

AFFF Study- Informing Policy

https://echa.europa.eu/documents/10162/28801697/pfas_flourinefree alternatives fire fighting en.pdf/d5b24e2a-d027-0168-cdd8-f723c675fa98

Remediation and Treatment-Former Pease Air Force Base

https://www.woodplc.com/news/2020/wood-pfas-remediation-project-atformer-us-military-base-receives-national-recognition

Drinking Water- State of MN vs 3M- \$850M settlement

https://3msettlement.state.mn.us/DrinkingWaterSupply

PFAS Risk Screening and Assessment

https://www.defence.gov.au/Environment/PFAS/Lavarack/publications.asp

R&D- Environmental Security Technology Certification Program(ESTCP) https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Environmental-Restoration/ER18-5015/(language)/eng-US

R&D- Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program(SERDP) <u>https://www.serdp-estcp.org/Program-Areas/Environmental-</u> Restoration/Contaminated-Groundwater/Emerging-Issues/ER18-1306





