Hugo Carronnier

Doctor in Pharmacy, Toxicologist specialized in environmental toxicology

Project manager – risk assessment emerging pollutants at VALGO





From Lubrizol (France) To Canada Development of a global strategy for PFAS



POSITIONING / MARKET: 360° approach A Our specificities – Our advantages – Your profit

GLOBA		AGNOSI
0	f the	site

- Pre global diagnosis of a brownfield
- A real value appreciation for a land property



- (Asbestos, lead...)
- National coverage
- Capability to interfere in industrial and building construction



Soil and water **REMEDIATION** (phreatic zone)

- Thermal desorption
- Physical-chemical treatment by Hydrosplit
- Laboratory and integrated R&D
- Applied Geophysics Department



VALORIZATION of raw products

Advice and expertise on the transformation of waste into biogas

- Polluted soil recovery
- Sea transport preferred





Transformation of an obsolete production tool added tool (energy, logistics, etc.)





- More than 90 years of petrochemical pollution
- 270 htrs of polluted land and premises
- Seveso classification high
- Partial asbestos diagnosis



- 270 ha recovered
- **75,000 tons** metals and **400 000 tons** concrete recycled
- 400,000 t concrete and 55 000 t hydrocarbon waste recycled and recovered
- 62 htrs land remediated
- 3000 future jobs created



The PFAS Issue

- 1. Context
- 2. The compounds
- 3. Exposure and toxicity

Diagnosis

- 1. Analytical complexity
- 2. Case study Large Scale Diagnosis Distribution study of PFAS in the Seine River

Treatments

- 1. Usual treatments
- 2. VALGO innovative treatments





Context : Lubrizol/Normandie Logistique Fire

Seveso 2 site (High Risk)



Combustion of more than **9000t** of various products



Intensive use of AFFF Foams (40 000 m³)





- Complex family with more than 4000 Compounds
- Defined by the C F bound → long half life (between 40 and 90 years)
 - → Virtually non biodegradable (ECHA), even if contradictory publication indicate that long chain PFAS degrades to short chain PFA
 - → Very bioaccumulative
- Ubiquitous in the environment



Similar chemical properties **thought not identical**

- Analytical Difficulties
- \rightarrow

 \rightarrow

Treatment difficulties



Also Ubiquitous in consumer goods



Regulatory values:

	Matrices	PFOS (ng.L ⁻¹)	PFOA (ng.L ⁻¹)	
E-U (2022-2027)	All waters	∑ 20 PFAS = 100		
GERMANY 2006		$\sum PFOS + PFOA = 300$		
UK 2009		1000	300	
US-EPA 2016		70	70	
NETHERLAND2011		530	-	
SUÈDE 2014		90	90	
ATSDR 2018	Tap Water	11	7	
NETHERLAND (2011)	Groundwater	23	-	
Health Canada (2018)	Tap water	200	600	



- Multiple ways of exposure
- Multiple toxicity
 - ➡ Moderate hepatic toxicity
 - ➡ Immunological toxicity
 - ➡ Metabolic toxicity
 - ➡ Pre and postnatal development disorders
 - → Endocrine disrupting effect
 - ➡ Promotes cancers

CONSEQUENCES ON HUMAN HEALTH

1NG/L



PFAS are reducing the mean concentration of vaccine antibody in children from 1ng/L

*Nanogram/liter

Increase of ² of the plasmatic concentration of PFAS is leading to a **decrease of 49%** of the plasmatic concentration of post vaccinal antibodies

(Grandjean 2012) (Grandjean 2013)



12*]*;

ciccosis

Analytical complexity



- Cross contamination:
- Analytical blindness
 - ➡ Which analysis?
 - Which compounds?

60 compounds

PFAS are easily undetected with inappropriate analysis or can be overestimated without a good methodology

200 compounds

TOF



Which analysis?

- Quantitative mass spectrometry
- Well known, efficient, low LQ
- Limited list of PFAS (not suitable for atypical or industrial contamination)
- "Fingerprint" mass spectrometry
- Identification of the main contaminants, of new PFAS
- Not quantitative, limited number of identified PFAS (not suitable for a contamination study)
- Top Assay
- Identification of precursors
- Degradation of some PFAS, only measures a limited number of short chain PFAS (not suitable for a contamination study)
- CIC
- Total Organic Fluorine

60 compounds

 Very High limit of quantification (not suitable on moderatly contaminated sites)



Cross contamination:

Strict protocol with :

- → PFAS Free equipment (lab coat, gloves, containers, pumps...)
- \rightarrow Prohibition of some textile, cosmetics, inc...
- ➡ Blanc Strategy (LOQ 0,1ng/L)

Analytical blindness
Working with the montreal University:

Université m de Montréal

LOQ < 0,1ng/L
 Thoughfull use of all analytical processes (60 compound Mass spectrometry, CIC, Fingerprint...)

Targeted analysis on 200 PFAS

1/ First quantified analysis on 60 PFAS → identification of main contaminants
2/ Semi quantification of 140 other PFAS selected
regarding the first results



CICCIOSIS

Case study – Distribution study of PFAS in the Seine River



Surface water

- Sediments
- Groundwater
- Biological samples

Largest study on PFAS on the Seine River :

- → 170 km (106 miles) on the last segment of River
- 100 sampling spots+ 60 secondary samples (second study)
- ➡ Analysis of all environmental matrices





- Surface water
- Sediments
- Groundwater
- Biological samples



3 Sampling spots (on each bank and on riverbed)



Surface water

Sediments

Groundwater

Biological samples





5 to 22 m deep



Surface water
 Sediments

Groundwater

Biological samples:



Plankton: sampled on the last part of the Seine river and before Paris

Fishes: Scientific angling fishing leading to the capture of Sander (Sander Iucioperca) and Roach (Rutilus rutilus) Control from the **OFB** Sample Library (2008-2010)









River water
Sediments
Groundwater
Biological samples

Low but global contamination

Close to the ANSES data from 2011, inferior to the ANSES data from 2009





<u>Global concentration of PFAS in sediments (ng.g⁻¹)</u>, <LOD : Under the limit of detection

2,64

S A

3 et PEHXS 2,31 1, Concentration en PFQS, PFOA, 1.771,46 1,33 1,30 1,46 1,05 <LO <LO <LO <10 <10 <LO ξ<mark>ι</mark>Ω S ctrl S L-2 S L1 S L-1 S LO S L2 SL3 S L4 SL5 Sites PFOS PFOA ■ PFBA ■ PFHxS

River water Sediments Groundwater Biological samples

Higher than usual values in similar areas (0, 2 - 0, 5)ng/g)



Total PFAS concentration

50

0

EsV1

EsV2

Superior to the expected results (ANSES 2011): between 1 and 10 ng/L



PFBA

5,1ng/L

PFHxA 4,12ng/L

PFOA

5,95ng/L

33

EsH

35.8

ESR





Roach



High PFOS affinity to the blood leads to an Over-contamination by this specific PFAS





Ubiquitous contamination linked to the industrialization and urbanization of the Seine Valley



A single Use of PFAS is not leading to an heavy contamination (dilutive effect)



Higher concentration because of repeated use (Production, firefighter training...)



High risk of exposure of general public in the area

Lowering of the contamination of the aquatic fauna. Remain a good bioindicator due to biomagnification

> Risk study related on the consumption of PFAS contaminated water in Rouen

eciments

Usual treatments





Exposure directly linked to the **consumption of contaminated water 75% of exposure (Hoffman 2011)**



Large experience on soil treatment (Petroplus rafinery) Treatment of contaminated water

- ➡ Usual treatment work
- → Innovant treatment are usefull to reduce costs
- but soil is also important, because PFAS contained in the soil can recharge groundwater
 - Partneship with french academics and research institute to determine the comportment of PFAS in the soil : Project IPANEMA
 - → Usuals treatment (thermal desorption, washing...)





Experimental



Œ

Activated carbon Efficient on long chain PFAS well known and easy to use Low efficiency for short chain PFAS (0% on GenX) Higly dependent on co-contaminants High quantity of wastes (difficult and expensive regeneration (>700°C))

lon exchange resins Higher sorption capacity than GAC Better efficiency on short chain PFAS than GAC Can reach low concentrations (<70ng/L)

Fast chemical exchange saturation Unefficient on positively charged PFAS A large number of interraction with other contaminants Difficult regeneration

→Comprehensive use of remediation methods according to the contamination

→The right process on the right contamination

ecinents

VALGO Innovative treatments



Persulfate oxidation





Before treatment Diagnosis is essential

 Adaptation to the site and the contaminants
 Considerate the whole contamination Usual strategies work

 If they are used properly, but can be expensive Innovant processes are developped (patent in perspective) Biological like process: Organic Protein advanced oxydation

2022/2023: Pilote study of Valgo in Canada Optimization usual treatments with a modular organization

- → Adaptabily to the site and the contamination
- 2023/2024: Full scale study of our innovative treatment processes





The right diagnosis

- Correct concentration
- Unique PFAS signature

The right treatment

- → Adapted to the site
- Adapted to the concentration
- Adapted to PFAS

Each site should be correctly diagnosed and deserve an adapted treatment





Thank you for your attention



There are multiple ways of contamination in the general population:

- Drinking water (75% (Hoffman et al., 2011))
 Food
- Textile (mainly on children)

In Developped countries, the plasmatic concentration of PFAS varies between 5 and 50 µg/L

→ Variable according to the geographical location and the professionnal occupation



Ollileai 2013



