Superheated In-situ Thermal Treatment of SVOCs/VOCs Sites with Simultaneous Vapor Treatment Applying GTR-O Technology

## GTTR Gas Thermal Remediation

Dr. Xiaosong Chen, PE



### **Outline of Today's presentation**

(1) One Successful Case Study

Why Thermal Remediation

(2) Several Failed Case Studies

What Challenge we encountered





## (1) One Case Study (Ningbo, China)

Area: 600 m<sup>2</sup> \*14 m bgs
Former chemical industrial area







## Site Challenge 1

- Lithology: silt and clay
- Low Soil Permeability
- Highly Heterogeneous Hydrogeology
- Groundwater level: <1m bgs</li>
- hydraulic conductivity < 1x10<sup>-6</sup> cm/s



## Site Challenge 2





COC	Max Conc. (mg/L)	Remedial Goal (mg/L)
o-toluidine	770	0.43
Vinyl Chloride	26.1	0.023
DCE	0.816	0.19
2,6-Dinitro-toluene	1.05	0.051
2,4-Dinitro-toluene	3.22	0.058
5-nitro-o-toluidine	54	3.35
TPH	44.9	2.42

	Max	Remedial	Boiling
<u>COC</u>	Conc.	goal	point
	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(°C)
Dinitro-toluene	65	11.43	300
o-Toluidine	271	20.77	200
DCE	147	10.65	84

## Challenge 3

# Tight schedule less than 5 months from mobilization to de-mob Tight Budget



### What is GTR

GTR= Gas Thermal Remediation

Propane/Natural gas/Diesel as fuel to heat the thermal conduction heater wells.

Soil and groundwater are heated indirectly through conduction. Treatment temperatures from ~100°C to >400°C.

> Vaporized contaminants collected from extraction wells are routed to the appropriate vapor treatment module.

Closed-loop in-situ thermal conduction heating system. No pollution emission into atmosphere.



## **Heaters Safety**



Industrial requirement: 0.8MPa ; Business requirement: 0.4MPa ; Residential requirement: 0.1~0.2MPa



3.4 Kpa

0.5~2 Kpa 0.074 KW



## **Fuel source**

### Propane Tanks





### Natural Gas Pipe







### In Situ GTR =

### In Situ Heating



### +Vapor Extraction and Treatment



### ISTR installation Site Preparation and sheet pilling





GEO

Sheet pilling



### ISTR installation Drilling and Well Displacement





0 0 0 0 0 0 34 35 36 37 38

2-5

2-7

0 <sup>113</sup> 0 3-9 3-10

2-9 o 2-10

Scale In Meters

### **ISTR** installation **Plumbing and Well Connecting**



![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

GEO Remediation Company

Environmental

### ISTR installation Vapor Treatment System Connecting

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Remote Monitoring System**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Heating wells thermal picture

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

### **Recorded Temperature Evolution**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Computer Simulated Water Removal with Temperature Evolution

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Computer Simulated COCs Reduction with Temperature Evolution

### COCs concentration (mg/kg) at A-A' cross section after 0 days heating

#### **Dinitro-Toluene**

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Soil Concentration Sampling during Treatment

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

DADI BENESOUR CE

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Results

All soil sampling results suggested remediation goals were achieved in proposed duration

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Results

All groundwater sampling results suggested remediation goals were achieved in proposed duration

Groundwater	Pre-treatment	Post-treatment	Remediation
sampling	(µg/L)	(01/19/2016)	goal (µg/L)
2,6-dinitro-toluene	33.3	ND	51
2,4-dinitro-toluene	20.6	ND	58
o-toluidine	28,400	ND	430
DCE	29,800	ND	190
Vinyl Chloride	207	ND	23
5-nitro-o-toluidine	313	ND	3,350
TPH	24,622	60	2420

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

GE

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

Soil before Treatment

Soil after Treatment

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

GW before Treatment

GW after Treatment

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Results

Soil bearing test suggested the soil property was not changed during thermal treatment

	0.11	CI	PT	Bearing capacity	
	Soil type	q <sub>c</sub> (MPa)	f <sub>s</sub> (kPa)	(kPa)	
Pre-	Silty clay	0 546	10.2	73	
treatment	Sifty Clay	0.540	10.2	13	
Post	Siltry alory	0 600	12	20	
treatment	Sitty Clay	0.008	13	00	

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Why GTR: more soil types

 $q''_{x} = -k(dT/dx)$ Where:  $q''_{x} = \text{heat energy flux in the x direction (W*m^{-2})}$   $k = \text{thermal conductivity (W*m^{-1}*K^{-1})}$   $dT/dx = \text{temperature gradient in the x direction (K*m^{-1})}.$ 

Thermal Conductivity

Hydraulic Conductivity

$$\frac{Clay}{Sand} = \frac{1.3}{0.52} = 2.5$$

$$\frac{Sand}{Caly} = \frac{10^4}{10^{-4}} = 100,000,000$$

## Why GTR: less time

Duration for Different remediation technology

In Situ Remediation	Thermal	Chemical injection	flushing	Bio- remediation	pump and treat
VOCs (Benzene, DCE, ect)	1~2	3~9	4~12	6~18	12~24
VOCs (BTEX, TCE,PCE,gasoline,partial diesel, ect)	1~3	4~12	6~18	12~24	12~36
SVOCs (motol oil, MPG, PAHs, PCBs, dioxins,etc)	2~6	6~18	9~24	12~36	18~54

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Why GTR: better guarantee

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

## (2) One Challenge Encountered - Vapor Treatment

### 5 Case Studies in China

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

Environmental Company

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

Short Duration (2 months from mob to demob)

- 10/08/2013 Mobilization and Installation
- 11/03/2013 Commissioning
- 11/05/2013 Start Heating
- 12/08/2013 Stop Treatment
- 12/12/2013 Confirming Sampling

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

Environmental Company

Reach 100°C in less than 1 month
 Removed >99% of COCs from soil and groundwater

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Vapor treatment unsuccessful reason:

(1) No Time(2) No Site Investigation

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

Vapor treatment need improvement:

(1) Same problem: Unexpected significant higher VOCs concentration than provided site investigation report
(2) Failure of some small parts of local equipment

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Vapor treatment need improvement:

One unreported chemical: Sulfide

Some adjustment done for this site immediately

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Vapor Treatment Development

(1) Cooling(2) VGAC polishing

(1) Cooling(2) Therm oxidation(3) VGAC polishing

(1) Cooling(2) C3(3) VGAC polishing

(1) Cooling(2) GTR-O(3) VGAC polishing

(1) Cooling(2) Catalytic oxidation(3) VGAC polishing

![](_page_36_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Method 1: Cooling+VGAC

## Good for SVOCs sites or low VOCs concentration sites

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

GEO

## Vapor Treatment Development

(1) Cooling(2) VGAC Polishing

(1) Cooling(2) Therm Oxidation(3) VGAC Polishing

(1) Cooling(2) C3(3) VGAC polishing

(1) Cooling(2) GTR-O(3) VGAC polishing

#### or

(1) Cooling

- (2) Catalytic Oxidation
- (3) VGAC Polishing

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Method 2: Thermal Oxidizer

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Method 2: Thermal Oxidizer

- Chlorinated solvents < 5,000 ppmv</p>
- petroleum hydrocarbons <10,000 ppmv</p>
- Potential formation of dioxins and furans and untreated VOCs
- > CO, CO2, and nitrogen / sulfur oxides
- Uptime is low = high O&M costs
- Supplemental fuel costs are rising
- Scrubber maintenance costs are high
- Moderate carbon footprint can be high

![](_page_44_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Method 3: Catalytic Oxidizer

![](_page_45_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Method 3: Catalytic Oxidizer

- Chlorinated solvents < 2,000 ppmv</p>
- petroleum hydrocarbons <4,500 ppmv</p>
- Potential formation of dioxins and furans and untreated VOCs
- > CO, CO2, and nitrogen / sulfur oxides
- Uptime is low = high O&M costs
- Supplemental fuel costs are rising
- Scrubber maintenance costs are high
- Moderate carbon footprint can be high

![](_page_46_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Vapor Treatment Development

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

- (1) Cooling(2) Therm Oxidation(3) VGAC Polishing
- (1) Cooling(2) C3(3) VGAC polishing

(1) Cooling(2) GTR-O(3) VGAC polishing

#### or

(1) Cooling

- (2) Catalytic Oxidation
- (3) VGAC Polishing

GEO

## Method 4: C3

Refrigerated cooling compression and condensation combined with regenerative adsorption
 NO UPPER LIMIT of VOC concentration
 NO INLET DILUTION!
 >100 projects completed
 >20,000,000 pounds of VOCs treated
 >28 years in service!

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Method 2-4: which methods

![](_page_49_Figure_1.jpeg)

Environmental Remediation Company

GE(

## Method 2-4: which methods

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

GE

# Method 4: C3 application in Thermal remediation

Camp Pendleton, CA

![](_page_51_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Method 4: C3

Flow Rate	100 SCFM Pilot test unit	200 SCFM	300 SCFM	500 SCFM
Power	480 VAC 3-ph 100 A & 240 VAC 70 A	480 VAC 3-ph 150 A & 240 VAC 70 A	480 VAC 3-ph 200A & 240 VAC 70 A	480 VAC 3-ph 300 A & 240 VAC 70 A
KVA/Hr	45 KVA	75 KVA	105 KVA	170 KVA

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Vapor Treatment Development

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### or

(1) Cooling

(2) Catalytic Oxidation

(3) VGAC Polishing

GEO

## Method 5: GTR-O (Case #3)

### Used GTR as Oxidzer

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_3.jpeg)

Environmental

## Method 5: GTR-O (Case #3)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

800C ~300C
 Retention time is double of most thermal oxidizer
 VOCs from >15,000 ppmv to 120 ppmv

![](_page_55_Picture_3.jpeg)

## Method 5: GTR-O Case #4

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

## Method 5: GTR-O

## Case #4

![](_page_57_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_3.jpeg)

3-methyl-6-nitrophenol

![](_page_57_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Method 5: GTR-O

## Case #5

GTR-O: simultaneously heating the ground and treating vapor

![](_page_58_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Method 5: GTR-O

Case #5

![](_page_59_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **Questions?**

http://www.georemco.com/

+1.714.283.1682 ask@georemco.com Jason@georemco.com

![](_page_60_Picture_4.jpeg)