





RemTech Remediation Symposium 2015 On-Site Treatability Evaluation Using Passive In-Situ Biostimulation Green Remediation Strategy for Chlorinated/Non-Chlorinated GW Contaminants













Evaluation Purpose

In-Situ biostimulation approach using DPT chosen by consultant

- **TPHENHANCED[™]** by *BioStryke[®]* Remediation Products LLC
- Biostimulation additive that leverages existing conditions

Provides analogue to Oxygen (O₂) to support microbial respiration using alternative electron acceptors

- Utilize Benzene (hydrocarbon) as electron donor
- Supports heterotrophic microbial populations, <u>not</u> just aerobes
- Exhibits ability to expedite residual source mass solubilization
- Eliminates need for long-term above-ground support equipment
- 'Green" remediation strategy that eliminates nuisance emissions, vapors and noise



Pro's & Con's of Bioremediation



Inappropriate without Physical Removal

- Pooled DNAPL Source Zone
- Time is of the essence



Appropriate with Remedial Design Considerations

- Heterogeneous matrix, silty/clay soil, fractured bedrock
- Residual DNAPL
- cVOC and non-cVOC mixture
- Highly aerobic overburden or planned future site disturbance



Ideal Situation

- Accessible impact zone
- Time
- Homogeneous Stratigraphic Conditions



Why is Biostimulation Cost-Effective

Biostimulation is a proven remediation strategy that:

- Nourishes and stimulates native microbial populations
- Expedites solubilization of residual source mass contaminants
- Increases contaminant bioavailability
- Enhances dissolve phase contaminant destruction to
- Realize Long-Term Compliance
- Biostimulation minimizes the impact of remediation by:
 - Minimizing/Eliminating above ground support equipment
 - Minimizing off-site removal activities, fuel and energy costs
 - Minimizes and eliminates nuisance noise, emissions and vapors



Contaminants of Concern

- Dichlorobenzene
- Benzene
- Chlorobenzene

Three dichlorobenzene compounds:

- <u>1,2-Dichlorobenzene</u> or *ortho*-dichlorobenzene;
- <u>1,3-Dichlorobenzene</u> or *meta*-dichlorobenzene;
- <u>1,4-Dichlorobenzene</u> or *para*-dichlorobenzene.

Dichlorobenzene does not occur natural

- Organic compound with the formula C₆H₄Cl₂
- Two Chlorine atoms



1,4-Dichlorobenzene

- Colourless solid has a strong odor noticeable at [low]
- Pesticide, deodorant and commonly as mothball

1,3-Dichlorobenzene

- Colorless to pale yellow liquid
- Used to make herbicides
- 1,2-Dichlorobenzene
 - Also know an orthodichlorobenzene (ODCB)
 - Colourless liquid
 - Poorly soluble in water but miscible with most organic solvents
 - Used as a solvent





Summary of Baseline Groundwater Conditions



| Performance Well ECO-4 | | | | |
|------------------------|--------|--|--|--|
| Contaminant | [ug/L] | | | |
| 1,2 Dichlorobenzene | 7,600 | | | |
| 1,3 Dichlorobenzene | 81 | | | |
| 1,4 Dichlorobenzene | 520 | | | |
| Chlorobenzene | 290 | | | |

Baseline Conditions at performance well indicating main contaminant of concern 1,2-dichlorobenzene; source area near property boundary, offsite concentrations downgradient





1º Contaminant of Concern

- ,2-Dichlorobenzene
- **Concentrations of CO**
 - [Benzene] ND to <10 micrograms/_iter (μg/L) [1,2-DCB] 500 μg/L to 10,000 μg/L [total VOCs] between 1,000 μg/L to 12,500 μg/L

Treatment Zone

- Contaminants present in smear zone
- Targeting smear zone proximate to source zone
- Direct Push Technology chosen for deployment
- 2 separate injection events over 17-month period



Summary of Site Subsurface Conditions

- Groundwater monitoring well ECO-4 chosen as performance well
- ▶ Groundwater 8.6-ft bgs (≈2.64 m)
- Soil 'very-tight' clayey-silt with poorly sorted gravelly sand
- Oxygen Reduction Potential (ORP) -114.5 mV
- pH 6.61 s.u.
- Dissolved Oxygen (DO) 0.22 mg/L
- Saturated zone est. 8-ft to 18-ft bgs
- ECO-4 completed at 16-ft bgs (≈4.88 m)

| Priling Co. J.E. Fritzs & Assoc. Bering Lecation Name These II building Driling Co. J.E. Fritzs & Assoc. Bering Lecation Name These II building Driling Co. J.E. Fritzs & Assoc. Bering Lecation Name These II building SS Geologist John S. Wrckff Too Elevation 23.83' Method Baring Stress Too Elevation 23.83' Total Depth 16' Screen Fast 2' Total Depth 16' Screen Fast 10' Beener Store Size Screen Fast 10' Bergen (fs.) Screen Fast 10' Pil (fs.) Screen Size Size 10' Beener (fs.) Screen Size Size 10' Depth 10' Screen Size Size 10' 1001 0-2 9.8.8.10 Deff Top soil and sod. 4' 1001 0-2 9.8.9.10 Deff Top soil and sod. 4'' 1001 2-4 6.6.6.4 Addit fraction. 4'' 1001 6-6 3.3.5.6.9 Addit fraction. 4'' 1001 6-8 3.5.6.9 Addit fraction. 5'' 1001 10-12 3.5.1.10 Sine as above, slight sulfar odor target of talgoing apables, suderate reddish brown 10 | BORING LOG Environmental Strategies Corporation 8521 Leesburg Pike Vienna, Virejna 22182 | | Ec Ave | ROJECT olab nel, N. | Boring No. ECO #4 I Sheet Date Drilled 12/8/89 | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|----------------|---|
| Borins Caring Stream Bandar Note Mater TypeEVC/PGC | Drill Drill ESC (| ling Co. ler leologis | J.E. Jay J t John | Fritts Sell S. Wyck | & Assoc. | | oring Location <u>Near Phase II building</u> round Elevation <u>25.79</u> OC Elevation <u>27.83</u> |
| Parts Percent (ppm) Sample Recovery Sample beth Design Yein Sample Description 0' SH 1000 0-2 9,8,8,10 Deff Top soil and sod. 2' 1000 2-4 6,6,6,6 2-2' Olive gray S Y 4/1 to moderate brown 5 TR 4/4, micacous, medium sand, materiality will sorted. 2' 1000 2-4 6,6,6,6 2-2' Olive gray, medium sand, materiality will sorted. 4' 1000 2-6 3,3,5,4 4-6 Same as above, slight sulfur odor or sill fraction. 6' 30 1000 6-8 3,5,6,9 4-2' Same as above, slight sulfur odor in a claysy sill with scattered grawnition. 8' 170 1007 8-10 9,8,11,10 50010 Moderate reddish brow 10 R 4/6 poolly mosted gravelly sand in a clay sill matrix. 10' 5 1007 10-12 3,5,10,9 10-12' Same as above, moist. 12' 8 1007 12-14 29,15,18,17 12-11' Less molecute reddish brow poorly sorted clay and sill matrix with gravel and and. 14'- 1005 12-14 29,15,18,17 | Boring Hethod <u>Auger</u> Hole Diameter <u>8"</u> Inside Diameter <u>3,375"</u> Total Depth <u>16'</u> | | Casing/Scr Type Diameter Screen Length Screen Slot Size | | Sampler 2" Length (ft.) 2 10' Hammer (lbs.) 140 .10 Fall (ins.) 30 | | |
| 0' NR 1001 0-2 9,8,8,10 $2-4^{*}$ Top soil and sod. 2' 1001 2-4 6,6,6,6 $2-4^{*}$ Olive gray 5 4/1 to moderate brown 578 4/5, discours, sedium sand, moderately well sorted. 2' 1001 2-4 6,6,6,6 $2-4^{*}$ Olive gray, medium sand with organ material. Wary medium sand material. Wary medium sand material. Wary medium sand material. The same salawary sand material. The same salawary sand material. Wary medium sand material. Wary material material. 6' 30 1002 8-10 9,8,11,10 $\frac{p-10'}{p-10'}$ Moderate rediah brown 10 R 4/6 10' 5 1002 10-12 3,5,10,9 10-12/2 Same sa salawar, molar. 12' 8 1003 12-14 29,13,18,17 12-111 Less molarute, tight, same lithology as above. 12' 8 1003 12-14 29,13,18,17 12-112 Less molarute, tight, same lithology as above. 12'< | Depth | P.I.D. (ppm) | Percent Recovery | Sample Depth | Blows/6'' | Well Design | Sample Description |
| 4* 1001 4-6 3,3,5,4 Americal. Very small percentage of clay or sile fraction. 6* 30 1001 6-8 3,5,6,9 4-2'. Same as above. slight sulfur odor 6* 30 1002 6-8 3,5,6,9 4-2'. Same as above. 8* 170 1002 8-10 9,5,11,10 5.007 transition. 10* 5 1002 10-12 3,5,10,9 10-12'. Same as above. 12* 8 1001 12-14 29,15,15,17 12-11'. Less moisture, tight, same lithology as above. 12* 8 1001 12-14 29,15,18,17 12-11'. Less moisture, tight, same lithology as above. 14* 1001 12-14 12,12,12,12*. 12-14 74,85,71 | 0, 2, | NR | 100% | 0-2 2-4 | 9,8,8,10 | | <u>D-6</u> " Top soil and sod. <u>6</u> "-2" Olive gray 5 Y 4/1 to moderate brown 5 YR 4/4, micaceous, medium sand, moderately well sorted. <u>2-6</u> ' Olive gray, medium sand with orsan |
| 6* 30 100X 6-8 3,5,6,9 $\frac{4-2!}{2}$: Same as above. 7=5: Tight clayer silt with scattered gravels and pebles, moderate reddish brown 10 R 4/6 Foot State and pebles, moderate reddish brown 10 R 4/6 8* 170 100X 8-10 9,8,11,10 $\frac{8-10!}{9001Y}$ moetrad gravelly sand in a clay silt matrix. 10* 5 100X 10-12 3,5,10,9 $10-12'$. Same as above, moist. 12* 8 100X 12-14 29,15,18,17 $12-13'$. Less moisture, tight, asse litthology as above, moist. 14* 100X 12-14 12,15,12.25 $13-13'$. Tight, fine to coarse sand with provider action. | 4' | | 100% | 4-6 | 3,3,5,4 . | | <pre>material. Very small percentage of clay or silt fraction. <u>4-6'</u> Same as above, slight sulfur odor.</pre> |
| 8* 170 1001 8-10 9.8,11,10 8-10' Moderate reddish brown 10 R 4/6 solt matrix. 10* 5 1001 10-12 3,5,10,9 10-12' Same as above, moist. 12* 8 1001 12-14 29,15,18,17 12-13' Less moisture, tight, same littlebolay as above. 14* 1001 12-14 12,15,12,25 12-14' Tight, fine to coarse sand with provider reddish brown classed and sand. | 6* | 30 | 100% | 6-8 | 3,5,6,9 | | <u>4-7'</u> Same as above. <u>7-8'</u> Tight clayey silt with scattered gravel and pebles, moderate reddish bro' 10 R 4/6. Color transition. |
| 10° 5 1001 10-12 3,5,10,9 10-12' Same as above, moist. 12° 8 1001 12-14 29,15,18,17 12-13' Less moisture, tight, asme litchology as above. 11° 1001 12-14 29,15,18,17 11-14' Saturated moderate reddish brown poorly sorted clay and silt matrix with gravel and sand. 14° 1001 12-16 12,15,72,25 12-15' Tight, fine to coarse sand with problem in a moderate reddish brown clay cities are tradish. | 8. | 170 | 100% | 8-10 | 9,8,11,10 | | <u>8-10'</u> Moderate reddish brown 10 R 4/6 poorly sorted gravelly sand in a clay silt matrix. |
| 12* 8 100% 12-14 29,15,15,17 12-11* Less moisture, tight, same lithbology as above. 13*14* 100% 14-16 12.15,19,25 Saturated moderate reddish brow poorly sorted clay and all matrix with gravel and sand. 14* 100% 14-16 12.15,19,25 Tight, fine to coarse sand with problem in a moderate reddish brown clay rfit matrix. | 10. | 5 | 100% | 10-12 | 3,5,10,9 | | 10-12' Same as above, moist. |
| 14" 100% 14-16 12.15.74.28 14-16 77 fight, fine to coarse sand with problem in a moderate radian brown classific restrict. | 12' | 8 | 100% | 12-14 | 29,15,18,17 | | 12-13: Less moisture, tight, same lithology as above. 13-14: Saturated moderate reddish brown poorly sorted clay and silt matrix with gravel and sand. |
| | 14. | | 1003 | 14-18 | 12,15,19,28 | | 12-161 Tight, fine to coarse sand with yebbles in a moderate reddish brown clay effected. |

Geochemistry

Boring Log



Treatment Zone consisted of limited area

Existing monitoring well ECO-4 located proximate to center of AOI

Assumed 15-ft radial Area of Influence (AOI)

Additive deployed using a direct push GeoProbe rig

Additive injected between elevations 9-ft and 15-ft within each of the 5-deployment nodes about well



Deployment Locations for Pilot Evaluation



Five (5) deployment nodes chosen. Performance well ECO-4 located proximate to the center of the treatment zone created



Pilot Injection Event 1 March 20, 2013 165 pounds TPHENHANCED[™] 60 gallons chase water per node

Pilot Injection Even

August 15, 2014
792 pounds TPHenhanced
230 gallons of chase water

Results Injection One



Initial deployment of additive results in slow decrease in [DCB]

- ≈2-month delay in additive beginning to reach ECO-4
- By month 3 realize ≈620% increase in additive availability
- By month 5, >96% additive depleted
- Slow degradation of [DCB] in first 3-4 months (31% decrease)
- By month 5 realized massive solubilization of residual source mass with approximate 1,000% increase in [DCB]





Pilot Injection Even

165 pounds TPHENHANCED™
60 gallons chase water per node

Pilot Injection Event 2 August 15, 2014 792 pounds TPHENHANCED™ 230 gallons of chase water

Results Injection Two



Second Deployment performed on August 15, 2014

- 10-12 months following first injection [DCB] decreased >74% from peak bioavailability
- Equals destruction of previously unavailable residual source mass
- Without such solubilization and destruction one will experience rebound
- Additive unavailable until 2nd injection increasing >650%
- Over next 2-months additive depleted by >83%
- Overall reduction [DCB] between March 2013 and September 2014 approximates 63.5%











TPHENHANCED[™] PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

- Results of approximate 2-year evaluation
- Documented overall 63.5% reduction in [DCB]
- Ist Injection March 2013
 - >97% utilization of additive in weeks
 - >887% increase in COC bioavailability from lowest [DCB]
 - Followed by 73.9% reduction in [1,2–DCB]
 - = residual source mass destruction
- 2nd Injection August 2014
 - >83% utilization of additive in weeks time
 - 97.8% reduction in [1,2-DCB] from peak bioavailability





TPHENHANCED[™]

Benefits and Limitations

inhances heterotrophic microbial oopulations creating greater 'operating' piomass

ypically slower than aerobic lestruction; along with, lag periods

PHENHANCED[®] additives' solubility orders-of-magnitude > than O₂ based

- Decreased risk of biofouling
- Expedites contaminant solubilization
- Enhances long-term compliance

inhanced additive solubility increases leployment options

ncreases distribution capabilities in ubsurface



Maximize Compliance Objectives while Minimizing the impact and costs of remediation



TPHENHANCED[™]

Benefits and Limitations

Secondary geochemical indicators support additive induced anaerobic contaminant degradation

- Increased additive availability post inj.
- Dramatic decreases of same thereafter
- Concurrent decreases in COD
- Increases in both ORP and pH recorded
- Evaluation demonstrates costeffectiveness of a non-assimilatory remediation program
- Appropriate for dissolve phase and specifically, residual source mass contaminants
- Realizing >98% reduction in [contaminant] post residual source mass solubilization

Maximize Compliance Objectives while Minimizing the impact and costs of remediation



Full-Scale Remediation of DCB using Biostimulation Scheduled Fall 2015



Proposed injection plan for full-scale remediation using direct push Anticipate full-scale deployment early November 2015 Estimated cost approximately \$8.50 per ton treated soil (saturated)



eatment Zone estimated at 0-ft x 75-ft

-ft thick impact zone

timate 50,000 pounds Henhanced required

timated additive cost \$220-40K (<\$5 per ton)

timate 100+ nodes assuming -ft spacing

tal project cost <\$450,000 \$10/treated ton)

Full-Scale Remediation of DCB using Biostimulation Scheduled Fall 2015





TPHENHANCED® vs. Aerobic PHC Degradation



 $\Delta \left[\text{VOC}_{\text{TOTAL}} \right]$ Solid Phase

Aerobic

Anaerobic

TPHenhanced

Control



TPHENHANCED-IIO® Comparison Aerobic PHC Degradation vs. Combined Approach





Drum Study – Results Former Chanute USAFB Fire Training Area

| nhanced® | April 4 (µg/L) | April 18 (µg/L) | May 2 (µg/L) | May 21 (µg/L) | %Reduction | |
|----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|--|
| ne | 606 | 1,780 | 8,350 | 24.6 | 99.7% | |
| halene | 197 | 178 | 302 | 2.02 | 99.3% | |
| ne | 2,360 | 3,620 | 8,370 | 13.4 | 99.8% | |
| ГМВ | 282 | 224 | 843 | 4.13 | 99.5% | |
| | NT | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.1 | NA | |

| -Advanced | April 4 (µg/L) | April 18 (µg/L) | May 2 (µg/L) | May 21 (µg/L) | %Reduction |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|
| ene | 1,970 | 471 | 362 | 241 | 87.8% |
| thalene | 213 | 76.7 | 34.1 | 8.36 | 96.1% |
| ene | 6,320 | 1,130 | 651 | 385 | 93.9% |
| ТМВ | 349 | 80.7 | 37.8 | 17.1 | 95.1% |
| | NT | 9.4 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 11.0 |
| | | | | | |



Speed?, or Solubilization Work to realize long-term compliance





Low-Impact Chlorinated and Non-Chlorinated VOC Remediation Leveraging Existing Anaerobic Site Conditions

enefits to Leveraging Anaerobic Site Conditions

- Utilize geochemistry created by contaminant
- Eliminate aboveground support equipment
 - costs, nuisance noise, vapors, emissions

rovides Respiratory Analog to O₂

- Enhance same microbial populations
- Create greater electron availability
- PHenhanced
- Low-Cost biostimulation additive
 - Low-Impact
 - Minimal support energy required
 - Get rebound out upfront
 - Achieve long-term site compliance cost-effectively



TPHENHANCEDTM

Summary Conclusions

Cost-Effective Strategy to Passive-Aggressively Destroy Contaminants

 $250\,\mathrm{m}$

- Dissolved Phase and Residual Source Mass Contaminants
 - Minimize the Environmental Impact of Remediation
- Leverage Existing Site Conditions to Maximize Project Effectiveness while Lowering Costs and Impacts
- Save Remedial Dollars, Lower Client Costs, Increase Margins
 - Maximize Project Performance and Client Satisfaction

Kent C. Armstrong karmstrong@biostryke.net

oStryke Thank You ?? Questions ??



BioStryke Remediation Products, LLC P.O. Box 254, Andover NH <u>www.biostryke.com</u>