

Oil Sands Research and Information Network (OSRIN)



Phase II Assessments and Phase III Remediation: A Brief History

Mark Polet Chris Powter

RemTech

October 2012



# Status of Phase II/III work in the Oil Patch in Alberta – A discussion paper

Reviewed over 2,100 sites in2012 from many companies

 Post-certification Audits by Alberta Environment & Water and Alberta Sustainable Resource Development (now ESRD)
 Will focus on ESRD data since it is public record.

➢Goal is to provoke discussion







#### We've come as long way

- 1981 First site, not even knowing what to do
- 1985 Tasted dirt, to see if it was salty or oily
  1993 Segregation in EPEA of remediation and reclamation







## We've come as long way (cont.)

- 2003 Professional sign off of upstream O&G reclamation certificates
- 2012
  - Phase II standards (though dated)
  - Good labs
  - Suite of disposal facilities
  - Specific post-secondary education programmes







# **AUDITS FAILED**

- Alberta Environment/SRD Surface Audits
  - 2003-2011 9%
- Alberta Environment/SRD Contamination Audits
  - · 2003-2011 28%







## Key Risks

- > It would not be acceptable if over a quarter of the bridges built by engineers fall down.
- Consultants, especially those stamping remediation and reclamation certificate applications, are putting themselves at risk of:
  - > Damaging their reputation and that of their professional organization
  - Claims by upset clients
  - > Extended clean up costs through further damage to the environment





# Key Risks (cont.) This is not going away

- The industry liability period for surface reclamation issues (topography, vegetation, soil texture, drainage etc.) is 25 years.
- Liability for contamination issues remains with the company in perpetuity unless rem cert in place
- This is not going away
  - We can not walk away from our responsibility
  - While just under 100,000 wells have been reclaimed, 275,000 remain to be remediated and reclaimed, not to mention larger facilities and ex-situ sites





#### What we noted

#### Incomplete delineation yet volume estimates made anyway

- No field screening
- Insufficient analytical
- Poorly done field screening
- Poor reproducibility
  - No georeference or survey data
  - Poorly written methods











## It is not all bad

We also saw some outstanding work: 3D modelling Rigorous methods Good delineation and admission of incomplete delineation Remote assessment (air & EM)

Let's make scientific rigour the standard

It is process versus people





#### Recommendations

#### **Clients:**

- · Reject poor scientific performance by
  - · Hiring sophisticated internal personnel
  - Developing strict sampling protocols
  - Identify professionals signing off on failed sites and complete lessons learned
- Work with UofA, Vermillion, Mount Royal to improve programmes
   Government:





#### Consultants:

- Standardize procedures
  - Georeference
  - Field screening
  - Clear methods
- Get rid of the poor performers



- Continue Audit programme
  - Parse through and present reasons audits failed
- Lead industry in continuing to develop standards
  - Good at consensus
- Mandatory registration of Phase II/III's on the Environment Site Assessment Registry







#### Recommendations

#### **Professional and Technical Organizations:**

- Quality Management
- Scientific rigour
- Cross-company Technical Review (i.e. PSMJ Peer Review)
- Standard Operating Procedures
- Consensus
- Annual review with all stakeholders
- Work with UofA, Vermillion, Mount Royal to improve programmes









#### Recommendations

The Great Debate:

- Discuss the 'right' way to manage contaminated sites.
- responsibility, timing, location ("time value of money").
- Philosophical, technical and legal debate
- What does 'polluter pays' really mean?
- How long can owners of contamination wait to clean up sites?
- Is there a moral hierarchy?
  - cleanup
  - 'dig and dump' (long term storage in landfills?),
  - risk management?
- Social, environmental and economic implications?







#### References

- Alberta Environment and Sustainable Resource Development. 2011. Environmental Site Assessment Registry (ESAR). Frequently Asked Questions. Environment.alberta.ca/01522.html accessed, 2012 October 7
- Environmental Law Centre. 2004. A Review of Regulatory Approaches to Contaminated Site Management, prepared for Alberta Environment
- Government of Alberta. 2010. Field Audit Results Table. 4/31/2010
- Government of Alberta. 2011. Update Report On Alberta Environment and Water's Upstream Oil and Gas Reclamation Certificate Program March 31, 2011
- Reclamation Criteria Advisory Group (RCAG). 2011.
   2010 Reclamation Criteria for Wellsites and Associated Facilities for Cultivated lands (Updated June 2011) for Alberta Environment

http://environment.gov.ab.ca/info/library/8362.pd accessed, 2012 October 7







## Acknowledgements

Chris and I would like to thank:

- Breann Lamnek, KCB
- Laura Lemmens, Government of Alberta
- Chad Wawrinchuk, KCB
- All our colleagues whose opinion we sought





