



## **Topics**



- Misconceptions;
- Facts;
- FAQs;
- Sources of uncertainty;
- Controlling uncertainty;
- Result interpretation;
- Conclusion.

### **Misconceptions**



- Quality of results is determined primarily by the analytical method chosen;
- Approved analytical methods are exempt of interferences;
- Laboratory accreditation guarantees quality results;
- Quality is only a laboratory concern;
- An analytical result is an exact and absolute value.

### **Facts**



- Decisions made based on the interpretation of results may have important financial impacts;
- ◆ Generally, interpretation of results is limited to comparison to regulatory norms or criteria;
- Interpretation of results is usually performed by :
  - Different people;
  - Different qualifications (engineers, chemists, geologists, other);
  - Different professional experience.
- Assessment of the quality of results is limited to laboratory interpretation.



### **FAQs**



- What impact will an erroneous result, a poor interpretation, and the uncertainty of a result have on decisions taken?
- How do I know if a result is accurate or not?
- What is the % uncertainty linked to a result (% error)?
- What factors can influence the quality of a result?
- What tools can I use to validate a result?





- Environmental characterization program;
- All steps between sampling and reporting of results by the laboratory carry an uncertainty and may impact the quality of a result;
- The uncertainty associated with an analytical result corresponds to the sum of the uncertainties of all steps between sampling and reporting of results;
- An uncertainty can be present when interpreting results with the project history





- Sampling method;
- Sample conservation (integrity);
- Sample homogeneity;
- Complex sample matrix (ex.: multiple phases);
- Pretreatment of water and soil samples;
- Sample contamination during sampling and transport;
- Laboratory analytical methods.



#### **Variance**

**Sampling** 



**70** %

Subsampling



20 %

**Calibration** 





Instrument



4%

Interpretation













#### Petroleum hydrocarbon analysis

Field: contaminated soil pile to be characterized = 20 metric tons

Field : soil sample for laboratory analysis = 500 grams

**Laboratory**: sub-sample = 10 grams

Extraction with 20 mL of solvent

Analysis: injection of 1 uL of extract in GC

In other words:

1 uL of extract represents 20 metric tons of soil

# Controlling uncertainty



#### **During sampling:**

- Blanks (field, transport, etc.) :
  - Verification of risks of contamination attributable to each step of the sampling and transportation processes.
- Field duplicate :
  - Sampling reproducibility;
  - Degree of homogeneity of samples;
- Blind samples:
  - Homogenous samples of known concentrations submitted unknowingly to the laboratory. Permits the verification of laboratory bias.

# Controlling uncertainty



#### **Laboratory analysis:**

- Laboratory blank :
  - Verification of risk of contamination during each step of analytical method.
- Control sample / reference material :
  - Sample generally prepared by the laboratory and analyzed to verify the accuracy of the analytical method as well as the percent recovery.
- Certified reference material:
  - Sample prepared by a recognized external laboratory or organization and analyzed to verify the accuracy of the analytical method as well as the percent recovery.

## **Controlling uncertainty**



#### **Laboratory analyses:**

- Laboratory duplicate :
  - Verification of precision of analytical method and degree of homogeneity of a sample.

#### Spike :

 Verification of matrix effects and percent recovery of the analytical method. Addition of a known quantity of a specific compound to a sample that has already been analyzed.

#### Surrogate:

- Verification of percent recovery (organic analysis). Addition of a known quantity of surrogates (deuterium or carbon 13 labelled compounds) to each sample.
- Ex.: benzene-d6, pentachlorophenol-13C6.



### Result interpretation



#### **Verification of quality control:**

- Knowledge of laboratory acceptability criteria;
- Verification of laboratory quality control results (blanks controls, duplicates, etc.);
- Verification of the laboratory MDL, MQL, and RDL;
- Verification of field blanks and duplicates;
- Verification of surrogates recovery.

### Result interpretation



#### Do the results make sense?:

- Site environmental history;
- Sampling method;
- Nature of sample;
- Pretreatment of samples;
- Conservation of samples;
- Observed evidence of contamination during sampling.

### Result interpretation



#### Do the results make sense?:

- Results are representative of potential sources of contamination on the site;
- Relationship between different parameters :
  - Petroleum hydrocarbons-PAH-BTEX;
  - COD-BOD-TSS;
  - Phenols 4-AAP Phenols by GC-MS;
- Interpretation and comparison of results with quality control data;
- Interpretation of chromatograms (petroleum hydrocarbons).

### Conclusion



It is the project manager's responsability to determine the acceptability of the analytical data.