

In-situ Biotreatment of Airport De-icing Fluid



Yvon Larochelle – OMCIAA

Dario Velicogna – SAIC Canada



Background

- 2001 relocated central deicing facility (CDF)
- Cause for elevated concentrations investigated
 - Likely cause: Dripping off taxiing aircraft
- Not a concern before
- When pad location changed, glycol dripping off aircraft impacted the storm water that flows into the Rideau River
- Result orders from both EC and MOE



Assessments

- Hydrogeological Study (Robinson Consultants)
 - To determine ground water flow direction and velocity
- Treatability Study (SAIC Canada)
 - To determine if natural attenuation would work

Hydrogeological Assessment

- OMCIAA selected the most suitable location (no future development potential)
- Confirmed:
 - GW flow to the north
 - GW flow speed 4.0 x 10⁻² cm/s or 4 yrs to the property boundary
 - Soil consists mainly of sand
- GW has been monitored for past two years: 2 X 10⁻² cm/s

Relative Locations



Solution

- Not attract wildlife (birds)
- Economical
- Little or no personnel resources

In-Situ Bio

Will it work at this site?
Indigenous bacteria
Proper soil conditions

Nutrient level

Hydrogeology



Ethylene Glycol

- Sweet, odourless, colourless liquid
- Toxic
- Easier to break down in the Environment

Propylene Glycol



Tasteless, odourless, colourless liquid
Non-toxic
Remains in the Environment longer

Approach

- Bench scale (laboratory)
- Pilot scale
- Full scale implementation

Laboratory Experiments

- Soil nutrient level
- Capacity of indigenous bacteria to degrade glycol
- Aerobic or Anaerobic
- Time frame

Aerobic vs Anaerobic

- Traditional lagoons are aerobic
- In general: aerobic faster than anaerobic
- Different breakdown products

Simple Flask Test

- Actual soil at proposed site for infiltration bed was used as biodegradation medium and source of microorganisms
- Spiked glycol at an initial concentration of approx. 50 mg/l
- Both aerobic and anaerobic tests
- No nutrients added

Flask Test



Results

After 28 days

Initial	Flask A	Flask B	Flask C	Flask D
	(Aerobic)	(Aerobic)	(Anaerobic)	(Anaerobic)
52 mg/L	53 mg/L	53 mg/L	53 mg/L	22 mg/L

Soil at selected site not likely to support sustained biological degradation of glycol if glycol impacted water is released into the infiltration bed as is.

Bioreactor Test

- Microbes from airport storm water sump
 - Exposure to glycol from de-icing pad
 - Sludge from storm sewer
- Nutrients (Mineral salts)
- Custom-made bioreactor
 - aerobic and anaerobic operation
 - process parameter control
 - larger reactor volume.



Bioreactor Test results:

Reactor is anaerobic after 5 days.

•Glycol concentration dropped below detection limit between 7 to 14 days.

•Visible active bacteria culture growth.

•Shows lag phase before glycol degrading anaerobic microbes become active.

Pilot-scale Test

- Mimic field groundwater flow and conditions
- Flow velocity: 15 mm / minute
- Temperature: between 8 and 12 C
- Air tight system with special sampling reservoir
- Nitrogen purge
- Actual sand from proposed infiltration bed site

Column Schematic





Pilot-scale Column Test System

Cooling coil and insulation

Test column

Temperature controller

Sampling reservoir and valve

Reservoir tank

Peristaltic pump

Nutrient / microbe injection port

Pilot-scale Column Test Results

- 4 weeks system conditioning
- Injection of nutrients and microbes
- Between 10-21 days, glycol concentration dropped to below detection limit

In-situ Glycol Bioremediation



Conclusion

- Able to biodegrade glycol in an anaerobic environment using indigenous microbes
- Soil at selected site not likely to sustain biological degradation of glycol if glycol is released into the infiltration bed
- Infiltration bed system should include nutrient and bacteria injection

Bioremediation System Design

- Septic field 130m X 5 m
- Flow diversion valve
- Stormceptor[™] to collect oil and grit
- Capacity of 340 L/s
- Overflow to ground surface
- Containment berm

System design



Schematic

OTTAWA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT GLYCOL BIOTREATMENT SYSTEM SCHEMATIC





SECTION A - A

NOTES :

- BUG TRAYS TO BE $1m\ x\ 2m\ x\ 0.15m\ x\ 1.5mm$ THK. STAINLESS STEEL. QTY.5.

- BUG TRAYS ARE TO BE LOCATED BENEATH STAND PIPES 2, 3, 5, 7 & 9. - PROVIDE SAMPLING WELL FOR BUG TRAYS LOCATED BENEATH STAND PIPES 2 AND 5..

Concentric Injection Pipe



System Specifics

• Five bacterial trays for inoculation

- Monitoring ports for bacteria (pre-winter sampling)
- Injection piping for nutrients (salts) (weekly – winter)
 - Monopotassium phosphate
 - Ammonium chloride
 - Calcium chloride and
 - Magnesium chloride
- Monitoring wells (degradation of glycol and by-products)

Installation of 'Bug' Tray



Manifold Installation

Inoculation

• Initial Idea:

- Collect bacteria from existing storm sewer
- Add nutrients and ethylene glycol
- Let acclimate
- Re-inject acclimated bacteria into treatment system

Canadian Environmental Protection Act

• CEPA

New Substances Division

• NO!

- Cannot re-inject any bacterial solution that has been modified *ex-situ* unless:
 - The exact bacterial consortium has been determined **and**:
 - The consortium is on the DSL or:
 - The new consortium has been proven to be benign

Plan 'B'

- Collect bacteria from existing storm sewer
- Dilute in 200 litres of nutrient solution
- Re-inject into system
- Add glycol (dilute) to acclimate the bacteria

 This was done a few weeks before to overcome the lag phase

Collection of sludge

Inoculation of Tray

Performance Monitoring

Initially 5 monitoring wells (2003-2004)
To confirm GW flow and glycol degredation
Now 11 monitoring wells (2004-2005)
To achieve a confidence level
Monitoring for glycols, nitrate, sulphate, phosphate, ethanol, acetate, temperature and dissolved oxygen

Monitoring done weekly

Monitoring Results

- Glycol degrades within a week
- By-products are ethanol, acetate, methane
- Ethanol degrades very rapidly
- Methane not-encountered
- Acetate degrades within 6 months (low concentrations)

1st Year Results

Results over 3 years at 40m from infiltration bed

Results after 3 years 6m from infiltration bed

Conclusions

- System appears to have become more efficient in second year of operation
- Glycol degrades readily when the system is enhanced with local bacteria
- Regulators are very satisfied with the system
- System cost \$400,000
- Operational cost \$50,000/year to date

Conclusions

• Bench testing valuable

- Initial approach for an aerobic system too energy intensive
- Defined minimum operating parameters
- Be Flexible /Adaptable
 - Inoculation technique had to be modified to meet regulations
- Bioremediation best when simple
 - Original design utilized a series of injection points and pumps – new system single point, gravity feed

Injection

Next Steps and Goals

- As knowledge gained, reduce monitoring and injection events
- Ultimate Goals
 - Self sustaining system
 - Monitoring quarterly only

System Photo

